Expanded Wisconsin Fast Forward Program

Training Teachers to Teach in Dual Enrollment Programs Grant Grant Evaluation Rubric and Scoresheet

| Rev | iewer Numb | er: | | | Date: | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| Co | rrespondenc | e ID #: | | Gra | ant Request: \$ | | | | |
| App | olicant Name |): | | | | | | | |
| P | Project Name | e: | | | | | | | |
| | Project Need (20) | Economic Impact (15) | Training Program Design, Cost & Implementation (20) | Capacity Building (10) | Training Objectives & Outcomes (20) | Economic Opportunity Enhancements (15) | Total (100 points) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall Co | omments: | | | | | | | |

| Project Need Statement (Up to 20 points) Total Score | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

Describe the nature and scope of the critical workforce problem that the project will address, including:

- The specific needs of the educational institutions involved in the project, including data or examples.
- The extent of the problem, including if the certification issue is affects multiple educational institutions and/or employers in an industry sector or geographic region, or is common to the state or nation.
- Data, information, or examples that support the needs statement.

| | | no criteria | limited criteria | some criteria | most criteria | all criteria | Criteria |
|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Project Need | Identification of skills gap | 0 | 1 2 | 3 4 5 | 6 7 8 9 | 10 | Clearly outlines the nature of the workforce issue the project will address. Clearly explains why this need is not currently being met in other ways. Proposed Training Meets the requirements of the Higher Learning Commission. Includes how the problem impacts the project partner. Can be met with proposed training. |
| | Scope of skills gap | 0 | 1 | 2 3 | 4 5 | 6 | Clearly identifies the extent of the skills gap in terms of dual enrollment certification's impact on proposed trainees and areas of certification. |
| | Supporting Information | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Uses data, information, or examples to support the explanation of the scope of the need. |

Training Teachers to Teach in Dual Enrollment Programs Grant Grant Evaluation Rubric and Scoresheet

Economic Impact (Up to 15 points) Total Score _____

Describe the project's economic impact on the educational institutions involved, the communities where they are located, and the surrounding region:

- Number of certified dual enrollment teachers that will be trained with this project.
- Number of students impacted by this project.
- Any new and/or expanded opportunities connected with this project.
- Extent of the impact of the training for the employer(s).
- The impact related to the location, such as urban, rural, depressed, or low/high unemployment, etc.

| | | no criteria | limited criteria | some criteria | most criteria | all criteria | Criteria |
|--------------|---|----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| pact | Number of teachers/ schools impacted | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | The project will result in additional dual enrollment certifications upon completion. Clearly defines impact {higher scores for more certified dual enrollment teachers at the school(s)}. Clearly defines the new certifications targeted. Clearly defines how the project plan generates the required outcomes. |
| Economic Imp | Location of impact | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | Clearly defines how the location of the project (rural, suburban, or urban) provides greater economic impact. Includes data or examples of this. |
| | Community Impact | 0 | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Clearly defines how the project impacts the local community or surrounding region. (impact on students' ability to become qualified for employment, pool of candidates qualified for skilled positions, etc.). |

COMMENTS:

Training Program, Design, Cost & Implementation (Up to 20 points) Total Score _____

| | | no criteria | limited criteria | some criteria | most criteria | all criteria | Criteria |
|---|---|----------------|---------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Implementation | Structure and content of the training project | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | The structure and content of the training project meets stated needs and critical workforce issue(s) of the employer(s). Does not replace other readily available, accessible, or existing training. Training meets the standards of the Higher Learning Commission. The training provider's track record/credentials and ability are sufficient to achieve the project as described. |
| ۵ اداری در در د | Project plan | 0 1 1 3 4 | | 4 | The project includes a concise, focused plan for achieving the stated demand-driven outcomes. The plan includes milestones to achieve the goals within the requested time-frame. There is a plan for monitoring the project and trainee outcomes. The project time-frame outcomes are achievable. | | |
| Training Program, Design, C | Project plan for recruitment selection and assessment | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Well-defined plan for recruitment and/or selection of trainees. Project has a plan for both pre- and post-training skills and knowledge assessment. |
| | Cost per trainee | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | The cost per trainee is proportional to the planned training. The cost per trainee is a proportional investment related to project outcomes for the trainees, the educational institution(s) and the State. |
| | Budget Items | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | All budget items are necessary to the project, proportional to the training project requirements. Application provides sufficient supporting detail. |

Training Teachers to Teach in Dual Enrollment Programs Grant Grant Evaluation Rubric and Scoresheet

Training Teachers to Teach in Dual Enrollment Programs Grant Grant Evaluation Rubric and Scoresheet

| Capacity Building (Up to 10 points) Total Score | Capacity | Buildina | (Up to | 10 points |) Total | Score | |
|---|----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|-------|--|
|---|----------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|-------|--|

Describe how the project will create capacity to continue to address the issue after the grant project is finished:

- Are there any new curricula, certifications, and/or credit programs that will result from this project?
- Are there any collaborations/partnerships resulting from the project that may improve future ability to address the training issue?
- Are there plans by the project partners to continue to use curriculum/equipment/technology gained through the project after it is complete?

| | | no criteria | limited criteria | some criteria | most criteria | all criteria | Criteria |
|-------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Capacity Building | Project will result in capacity building for the workforce | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | The project will result in one or more of the following: New/or customized curriculum, or educational program area meets the certification gap and will be adopted by project partners and will be made available for adoption by other educational institutions. More work-ready high school graduates to fill high-demand positions. Clarifies pathway to teacher certification for dual enrollment course instruction. |
| | Curriculum to be used after grant period and Partners | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | There is strong evidence that the new curriculum/new training project will be used beyond the grant period. The project will result in the training partner and/or employer gaining new specific technology and/or knowledge that will be available for future training. |
| | Collaboration/ Sustainability | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | The project will result in partnerships that will continue to address the need after the project has ended. |
| | COMMENTS | 5 : | | | | | |

Training Objectives & Outcomes (Up to 20 points) Total Score _____

| | | no criteria | limited criteria | some criteria | most criteria | all criteria | Criteria |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Training Objectives & Outcomes | Certifications after training | 0 | 1 2 | 3 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 certifications = 2 pts; 6-10 certifications = 3 pts 11-15 certifications = 4 pts; 16-19= 5 pts; 20 certifications or above = 6 pts |
| | Impact of certification on school and business needs | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Impact of certification is well documented based upon student demand and lack of appropriate certification of teachers. Local business data shows demand in areas of certification. Program capacity to meet defined need. Meets Higher Learning Commission Standards |
| | Strong evidence to support the future achievement of stated goals | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | There is strong evidence to support the future achievement of the stated goals. Trainee outcomes are detailed and supported. Student outcomes are detailed and supported. |
| | Leveraged financial support | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | There is strong evidence of cost-sharing capability. If the project partner(s) provides resources, details must be included in the commitment letter(s) (Higher score the project partner is providing some of the cost-sharing). |

Training Teachers to Teach in Dual Enrollment Programs Grant Grant Evaluation Rubric and Scoresheet

Economic Opportunity Enhancements (Up to 15 points): Total Score _____

Describe the way the project will provide for enhanced economic opportunity for the teacher trainees:

- A description of what employee benefits will be provided to existing and/or placed teacher trainees.
- How prevalent are job and advancement opportunities for the successful trainee and students.
- If the project will result in a career pathway for the trainee and students.
- If the skills the trainee and students will gain are transferable.
- If there are plans to recruit socially and economically disadvantaged individuals into the project.

| | | no criteria | limited criteria | some criteria | most criteria | all criteria | Criteria |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Economic Opportunity Enhancements | Teacher Trainee benefits | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | Application clearly defines the benefits offered. Level of benefits offered (Higher score for better benefits) Basic benefits: Paid vacation, sick leave, etc. Intermediate benefits: Health care, retirement, etc. High benefits: Increased wages, paid training, etc. |
| | Teacher Trainee skill gain and career path | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | Current job status will improve through the training project. Preference given to full-time teachers. Training will provide long-term improvement in job opportunities. Occupation being certified for has high employability and need, especially locally |
| | Career Pathway for Teachers and Students | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | Teacher Trainees and students will receive career pathway value in the form of: - Higher Learning Commission recognized certification; - Continuing Education Units; and/or - Transferable college credits. |
| | Targets special populations | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Clearly defines plans to work with disadvantaged populations. Applicant shows capacity and/or successful history to work with expected population. Applicant will work with economically disadvantaged individuals: Applicant will work with socially disadvantaged individuals, minorities, veterans, persons with disabilities, etc. |
| | COMMENT | ΓS: | | | | | |

Expanded Wisconsin Fast Forward Program



Training Teachers to Teach in Dual Enrollment Programs Grant Evaluation Committee Notes

| Reviewer Number: | Date: |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Correspondence ID #: | Grant Request: \$ |
| Applicant Name: | |
| Project Name: | |
| | |
| Overall Project/Project Need/Partners/E | mployer: |
| Questions/Concerns/Issues: | Answer/Notes: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Economic Impact: | |
| Questions/Concerns/Issues: | Answer/Notes: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Training- Curriculum Development, Inst | ruction, Instructors, etc.: |
| Questions/Concerns/Issues: | Answer/Notes: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Budget - Cost/Accuracy/Ineligible Expe | nses: |
| Questions/Concerns/Issues: | Answer/Notes: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Expanded Wisconsin Fast Forward Program

Training Teachers to Teach in Dual Enrollment Programs Grant Evaluation Committee Notes

| Capacity Building: | |
|---|------------------|
| Capacity Building: Questions/Concerns/Issues: | Answer/Notes: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Facultinia Oppositivity Full and appropriate | |
| Economic Opportunity Enhancements: | A noway/Notae |
| Questions/Concerns/Issues: | Answer/Notes: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Training Objectives/Outcomes/Placeme | nts/Commitments: |
| Questions/Concerns/Issues: | Answer/Notes: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Other: | |
| Questions/Concerns/Issues: | Answer/Notes: |
| Questions/Concerns/issues. | Allswel/Notes. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |